



**The Special Shareholder Meeting Proposal 5 Deserves Shareholder Votes and the Inaccessible Special Shareholder Meeting Proposal 4 Deserves Against Votes at Avnet (AVT)**

Proposal 4 and 5 are both on the topic of shareholders calling for a special shareholder meeting.

Proposal 4 could be called the Roadblock special shareholder meeting proposal. Proposal 4 contains 2 roadblocks that are not in Proposal 5.

The first roadblock is a requirement that 25% of shares be required to petition Avnet (AVT) for a special shareholder meeting. The special shareholder meeting topic has been voted at more than 100 companies. Not even one of these 100 companies have ever cited one example of a special shareholder meeting being conducted based on a petition of more than 10% of shares.

The second roadblock is the disqualification of a substantial block of AVT shares – all AVT shares that are owned for less than one-year. The special shareholder meeting topic has been voted at more than 100 companies. Not one of these 100 companies have ever cited a single example of a special shareholder meeting being conducted when a substantial block of shareholders are disqualified from petitioning for a special shareholder meeting.

AVT claims it has robust shareholder engagement practices, but apparently such practices go flying out window when there is a shareholder proposal in the annual meeting proxy. The AVT formatting of Proposal 5 shows a deep-rooted mindset that shareholder proposals should not have a fair chance.

Proposal 5 should have the earlier number. Proposal 5 was drafted first and thus it is misleading to give Proposal 5 the later number.

Proposal 4 announces in oversized letters that it will take 25% of shares to petition AVT for a special shareholder meeting. The 25% figure is misleadingly mentioned 6-times in Proposal 4 and only once is the bad news mentioned that AVT has bundled with the 25% figure a disqualifier for a substantial block of AVT shares from petitioning AVT for a special shareholder meeting.

AVT made up its own title for Proposal 5 and put its own title above the proponent's title in oversized letters for only the AVT made-up title. AVT has also made up its own title for Proposal 5 on the ballot. And above the proponent's title AVT suggests that Proposal 5 may not be properly submitted for the annual meeting but gives no reason for such a wet blanket suggestion.

-----  
Notice of Exempt Solicitation

Name of Registrant: Avnet, Inc. (AVT)

Title: The Special Shareholder Meeting Proposal 5 Deserves Shareholder Votes and the Inaccessible Special Shareholder Meeting Proposal 4 Deserves Against Votes at Avnet (AVT)

Meeting Date: November 20, 2026

Name of person relying on exemption: John Chevedden, AVT Shareholder since 2022

Address of persons relying on exemption: POB 2673, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

These written materials are shared pursuant to an exemption provided for in Rule 14a-2 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. John Chevedden does not beneficially own more than \$5 million of the class of subject securities, and this notice of exempt solicitation is therefore being provided on a voluntary basis.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy.

Please DO NOT send me your proxy card; the shareholder is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event.

The shareholder asks all shareholders to vote by following the procedural instructions provided in the proxy materials.