FOR **Shareholder Rights**

**The Shareholder Right to Act by Written Consent Proposal 5 Deserves NetApp (NTAP) Shareholder Support**

Shareholders acting by written consent and calling for a special shareholder meeting are 2 means that shareholders of a company can use to put forth a proposal on a timely basis without waiting for the annual shareholder meeting.

This proposal is for an attainable shareholder right to act by written consent. It appears that the current right for NTAP shareholders to act by written consent is not attainable. It seems structured as a trap to induce errors by any group of shareholder that attempts to make use of it. The so-called current right to act by written consent takes up 2300-words in the NTAP Certificate of Incorporation. This so-called right thus takes up more than 50% of the words in the NTAP Certificate of Incorporation.

The written connect proposal topic has been voted on at more than 100 annual shareholder meetings. No company has ever responded to the written consent proposal with an example of shareholders of any company ever acting by written consent when the words governing such a right exceeded 500.

NTAP shareholders also need a right to act by written consent because they do not have an attainable right to call for special shareholder meeting. NTAP requires 25% of shares to call for a special shareholder meeting and then makes this 25% figure all the more challenging be excluding all shares not owned for a full year.

The NTAP statement next to this proposal is misleading because it cites the 25% figure but fails to mention that all NTAP shares not owned for a full year are excluded from participation. Thus it could take 40% of the remaining NTAP shares to equal 25% of the NTAP shares outstanding.

The special shareholder meeting proposal topic has been voted on at more than 100 annual shareholder meetings. No company has ever responded to the special shareholder meeting proposal with an example of a shareholder called special shareholder meeting taking place at a company that required 25% of shares.

No company has ever responded to the special shareholder meeting proposal with an example of a shareholder called special shareholder meeting taking place at a company that excluded all share hoes not owned for a full year.

NTAP thus appears to have a 2-prong barrier to guarantee that a NTAP special shareholder meeting will never take place.

**Please Vote for an Attainable Shareholder Right to Act by Written Consent – Proposal 5**

**------------------------------------------------------------------**

Notice of Exempt Solicitation
Name of Registrant: NetApp, Inc.  (NTAP)

Title: Shareholder Right to Act by Written Consent

Meeting Date: September 9, 2026
Name of person relying on exemption: John Chevedden, NTAP Shareholder since 2011
Address of persons relying on exemption: POB 2673, Redondo Beach, CA 90278These written materials are shared pursuant to an exemption provided for in Rule 14a-2 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. John Chevedden does not beneficially own more than $5 million of the class of subject securities, and this notice of exempt solicitation is therefore being provided on a voluntary basis.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy.
Please DO NOT send me your proxy card; the shareholder is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event.
The shareholder asks all shareholders to vote by following the procedural instructions provided in the proxy materials.