



Vote for a genuine right for MKL shareholders to call for Special Shareholder Meeting, Proposal 6

Markel Group (MKL) has 2 major limitations on its current right for shareholders to call for a special shareholder meeting. Each of these limitations standing alone would make it unattainable for MKL shareholders to call for a special shareholder meeting.

The first limitation is to require 25% of MKL shares to call for a special shareholder meeting instead of the 10% called for in this Proposal 6. More than 100 companies have had the opportunity to name one example of 25% shares actually succeeding in calling for a special shareholder meeting at any company whatsoever. Not one example has ever been cited.

The second limitation is to disqualify all MKL shares not owned for a full year from calling for a special shareholder meeting.

More than 100 companies have had the opportunity to name one example of shareholders actually succeeding in calling for a special shareholder meeting at any company whatsoever where all shares not owned for full year were disqualified. Not one example has ever been cited.

MKL also has a sneaky and misleading statement next to this proposal. MKL mentions 9-times its current 25% requirement and 8-times fails to mention the big disqualification clause that goes with the 25% figure, that all shares not owned for a full year are disqualified from calling for a special shareholder meeting. Thus MKL shareholder can easily be misled into believing that the 25% figure has no big disqualification clause.

The 25% requirement that MKL brags about can mean that 40% of the remaining MKL shares are needed to call for a special shareholder meeting. And the 40% figure can translate into 50% of the remaining MKL shares when the MKL shares are subtracted that do not vote at the annual meeting. (It is unlikely that the shares that do not have time to vote at the annual meeting would have the time for the special meeting procedural steps.)

MKL mentions 3-times shareholder engagement as a purported alternative to this proposal 6. The fact that the very same statement mentions the 25% figure 9-times and 8-times fails to mention

the big disqualification clause that goes with the 25% figure, illustrates that MKL is not above using sneaky and misleading tactics in its shareholder engagement. What is the value of shareholder engagement based on the sneaky and misleading MKL tactics?

Proposal 6 will give MKL shareholders a genuine right to call for a special shareholder meeting.

Notice of Exempt Solicitation

Name of Registrant: Markel Group Inc. (MKL)

Title: Vote for a genuine right for MKL shareholders to call for Special Shareholder Meeting, Proposal 6

Meeting Date: May 20, 2026

Name of person relying on exemption: John Chevedden, MKL Shareholder since 2021

Address of persons relying on exemption: POB 2673, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

These written materials are shared pursuant to an exemption provided for in Rule 14a-2 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. John Chevedden does not beneficially own more than \$5 million of the class of subject securities, and this notice of exempt solicitation is therefore being provided on a voluntary basis.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy.

Please DO NOT send me your proxy card; the shareholder is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event.

The shareholder asks all shareholders to vote by following the procedural instructions provided in the proxy materials.