



The Chairman of the Teledyne Technologies Incorporated (TDY) Governance Committee, Michael Smith, deserves an against vote

Mr. Smith, age 82 and over-tenured with 25-years TDY tenure, is ultimately responsible for TDY shareholders not having the ability to vote on a reasonable shareholder proposal for political spending disclosure.

Mr. Smith failed to even respond to this text in a February 25, 2026 letter to him: “This is to request that Teledyne Technologies Incorporated (TDY) include my rule 14a-8 proposal in the 2026 TDY proxy. So far TDY has taken advantage the automatic exclusion of rule 14a-8 proposals within days of a company sending a brief letter to the Securities and Commission without any degree of merit concerning a rule 14a-8 proposal that could not have been excluded if the no action process was still in effect.

“The SEC letter came back in 12-days even during the Christmas week with absolutely no consideration of the merits of the TDY letter or the proponent rebuttal. The SEC did not even wait for my December 30, 2025 rebuttal even though the SEC knew it was arriving during the Christmas week.

“Attached are the rebuttal letters of the TDY j-Notice position that were forward to the SEC and TDY in December 2025.

“In 2025 essentially the same proposal went to a vote voted at 13 major companies. Not one of my 2025 political spending disclosure proposals was successfully challenged except for minor procedural issues such as a late submittal.

“TDY seems to be joining the relatively few companies that are taking advantage of this easy way out to exclude rule 14a-8 proposals that had a good chance of surviving the no action process. A company that is a bottom feeder in excluding shareholder proposals can seem to be at bottom of any type of claimed shareholder engagement or outreach.

“A company that takes such an easy way out to exclude a shareholder proposal is suggesting that it has a split personality in shareholder engagement. In other words does TDY claim to be Dr. Jekyll when it conducts shareholder engagement and act as Mr. Hyde when there is an opportunity for shareholder input in the form of a rule 14a-8 proposal?

“A company that goes this low to quash shareholder input on a routine rule 14a-8 proposal topic would seem to be a company that has disdain for shareholder engagement in spite of any words to the contrary.

“TDY shareholders have a right to expect more.

“Please include my 2026 rule 14a-8 proposal in the 2026 TDY annual meeting proxy.”

Mr. Smith failed to respond.

Notice of Exempt Solicitation Pursuant to Rule 14a-103

Name of Registrant: Teledyne Technologies Incorporated (TDY)

Date of Annual Meeting: April 22, 2026

Name of person relying on exemption: John Chevedden, TDY Shareholder since 2020

Address of persons relying on exemption: POB 2673, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

These written materials are submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-6(g)(1) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. John Chevedden does not beneficially own more than \$5 million of the class of subject securities, and this notice of exempt solicitation is therefore being provided on a voluntary basis.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy.

Please DO NOT send me your proxy card; the shareholder is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event.

The shareholder asks all shareholders to vote by following the procedural instructions provided in the proxy materials.