
Notice of Exempt Solicitation Pursuant to Rule 14a-103

Name of Registrant: Global Payments Inc. (GPN)

Meeting Date: April 30, 2026

Name of person relying on exemption: John Chevedden, GPN Shareholder since 2018

Address of persons relying on exemption: POB 2673, Redondo Beach, CA 90278

These written materials are submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-6(g)(1) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. John Chevedden does not beneficially own more than \$5 million of the class of subject securities, and this notice of exempt solicitation is therefore being provided on a voluntary basis.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy.

Please DO NOT send me your proxy card; the shareholder is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event.

The shareholder asks all shareholders to vote by following the procedural instructions provided in the proxy materials.



Proposal 4 for a Global Payments Inc. (GPN) shareholder right to act by written consent deserves shareholder support

GPN has a flawed argument next to Proposal 4. Proposal 4 does not ask shareholders to choose between a shareholder right to act by written consent and a shareholder right to call a special shareholder meeting although GPN seems to present it as such.

Shareholders are best served when they have both rights. And GPN's current shareholder right to call for a special shareholder meeting is so weak that this creates an added incentive for GPN shareholders to have a right to act by written consent.

For instance a substantial block of GPN shares are completely disqualified from formal participation in calling for a special shareholder meeting – where is the principle of democracy in

this disqualification?

Shame on GPN for suggesting that shareholders limit themselves to one shareholder right when GPN shareholders are entitled to 2 shareholder rights under state law.

And GPN does not understand the right to act by written consent.

Written consent is a shareholder right that requires the formal backing of a GPN majority based on all shares outstanding. This majority support requirement in reality is much more than majority support because it is not economically possible to contact a significant percent of GPN shares to get their formal backing.

Thus for an issue to still get majority support, based on all shares outstanding, under written consent it could need 70% support from the GPN shares are economically possible to reach. And the GPN shares that are economically possible to reach are the GPN shares that are most informed and have the greatest incentive to cast an informed ballot.

The credibility of the GPN statement next to this proposal is subject to question by it suggesting the unlikely event that there could be multiple attempts at written consent at the same time. GPN is on the bandwagon of companies that make such an unsupported claim. However no company has ever cited one example of this actually taking place at a company worth at least \$19 Billion like GPN.