NOTICE OF EXEMPT SOLICITATION
(Voluntary Submission)

NAME OF REGISTRANT: Kroger Co
NAME OF PERSON RELYING ON EXEMPTION: Domini Impact Investments LLC
ADDRESS OF PERSON RELYING ON EXEMPTION: 180 Maiden Lane, Suite 1302, New York, NY 10038

Written materials are submitted pursuant to Rule 14a-6(g)(1) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Submission is not required of this filer under the terms of the Rule but is made voluntarily.

This is not a solicitation of authority to vote your proxy. Please DO NOT send us your proxy card; Domini Impact Investments LLC is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event. Domini Impact Investments urges shareholders to vote for Item 6 following the instruction provided on the management's proxy mailing.
VOTE
YES
FOR A REPORT ON THE PROTECTION OF FARMWORKERS IN KROGER’S NORTH AMERICAN SUPPLY CHAIN
Item 6 on June 23
The Human Rights Crisis in North American Agriculture—Including Extensive Modern-Day Slavery—is a risk for Kroger that has increased due to COVID-19.

Kroger’s existing disclosures and recent human rights statements are inadequate to evaluate the effectiveness of its current mechanisms for managing these material human rights risks.

Kroger’s opposition recognizes “the success of the Fair Food Program” and its “Best Practices for Respecting Human Rights,” but Kroger lags major peers by not supporting this groundbreaking initiative—and investors need information to assess that gap.
THE HUMAN RIGHTS CRISIS IN NORTH AMERICAN AGRICULTURE—INCLUDING EXTENSIVE MODERN-DAY SLAVERY—IS A RISK FOR KROGER THAT HAS INCREASED DUE TO COVID-19

- COVID-19 has disproportionately harmed farmworkers and exacerbated existing human rights risks in agriculture
- Data shows that during COVID-19, the prevalence of modern-day slavery in agriculture has increased
- Other longtime human rights risks in agriculture include sexual assault and unsafe working conditions—in particular heat exhaustion due to climate change, which is worsening

U.S. LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCIES HAVE UNCOVERED EXTENSIVE FORCED LABOR IN NORTH AMERICAN AGRICULTURE IN THE PAST YEAR, POSING RISKS TO KROGER

October 2021

Customs and Border Protection (CBP) issues Withhold Release Order (WRO) on two Mexican tomato farms due to indications of forced labor*

November 2021

United States Departments of Justice (DOJ) and Labor (DOL) announce forced labor RICO conspiracy involving more than 71,000 farmworkers in Southeast USA

January 2022

DOJ and DOL announce new multi-count forced labor indictment involving farmworkers in South Carolina

April 2022

DOJ announces guilty plea in yet another forced labor RICO conspiracy involving farmworkers in Southeast USA

*According to LA Times, produce from farms involved in CBP WRO were imported by Mastronardi Produce, a reported Kroger supplier. When asked if those farms supplied Kroger, the company responded, “Not to our knowledge.”


RESOLVED: Shareholders request the Board issue a report, at reasonable cost and omitting proprietary information, addressing the extent to which, during the pandemic, Kroger’s Statement on Human Rights (“Statement”) has effectively protected farmworkers in its North American supply chain from human rights violations, including forced labor, sexual assault, heat exhaustion, and COVID-19. This report should detail any mechanisms similar to the Fair Food Program, including:

- Whether Kroger has required its North American produce suppliers ("Suppliers") to implement COVID-19 worker safety and heat stress prevention protocols ("Safety Protocols"), and, if so, the content of those Safety Protocols;
- The number of times Kroger suspended a Supplier for violating the Statement or Safety Protocols, and the specific grounds for each such suspension;
- A list of the total number of Supplier locations purchased from, how often Kroger social compliance audits were conducted on-site at each such location, and the number of farmworkers personally interviewed there by the auditor;
- Whether Kroger ensured its Suppliers’ farmworkers had access to a third-party grievance mechanism, with the authority to order a remedy for reporting Statement or Safety Protocol violations, and, if so, the required procedures, number of such grievances filed, and outcomes of all such grievances.”
KROGER’S EXISTING DISCLOSURES ARE INADEQUATE TO EVALUATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF ITS CURRENT MECHANISMS FOR MANAGING THESE HUMAN RIGHTS RISKS

- **No Evidence** that farmworkers in Kroger’s supply chain ensured COVID-19 or heat stress protections
- **No Evidence** of what proportion of suppliers with “zero tolerance” violations are suspended
- **No Evidence** of what proportion of farms undergo in-person audits
- **No Evidence** of how many workers are interviewed during audits or have accessed a grievance mechanism for human rights violations
No Evidence That Farmworkers Ensured COVID-19 or Heat Stress Protections

- However, none of those documents mentions COVID-19 or heat stress, both of which were a focus of the resolved clause.

Investors need to know: Has Kroger required its North American produce suppliers to implement COVID-19 worker safety and heat stress prevention protocols, or not?

No Evidence of Supplier Suspensions for “Zero Tolerance” Violations

Kroger’s existing statements on supplier suspensions for human rights violations are inconsistent, making accountability measures unclear.

- Kroger’s Opposition: “If we find evidence that any supplier is not following our requirements or implementing agreed-upon corrective actions to resolve issues, we stop doing business with them.”

- Kroger’s Statement on Human Rights: “Failure to complete a [corrective action] or operate in good standing may result in termination of the supply contract.”

**Investors need to know**: How many “zero-tolerance violations” in the high risk North American agricultural industry have resulted in supplier suspensions, and what were the violations? Does Kroger have a true “zero tolerance” policy in practice?

No Evidence of On-Farm Audits—Especially in United States

- Kroger’s Opposition states that it “expects all suppliers...to comply with our Responsible Sourcing Framework”

- However, Kroger’s “Social Responsibility FAQs” (updated April 2020) specify that social compliance audits are required for “foreign-sourced produce,” and its Social Compliance Program Requirements (Updated August 2020) note that “US-based facilities” are only audited in “some instances”

- Furthermore, the Social Compliance Program Requirements state that even when a supplier is “in-scope,” the audit is “conducted at the site...where the last assembly, packing, labeling or processing step is performed,” potentially leaving out farms entirely

Investors need to know: How often (if at all) are social compliance audits performed on farms that supply Kroger, including in the United States?

No Evidence of Worker Complaints Via Audits or Grievance Mechanism

- The Resolved Clause seeks concrete evidence about any farmworker interviews conducted during Kroger’s social compliance audits, and any third-party grievance mechanism accessible to farmworkers in Kroger’s supply chain.

- This information is critically important in light of a growing consensus among academic and government experts, which was summarized in a 2021 CBP publication:

  There is ample evidence-based research that demonstrates social audits, as they are currently administered, are ineffective in identifying and reducing forced labor. Instead, more investment should be made in worker-driven solutions like the Fair Food Program.”
  - United States Customs and Border Protection FAQ (August 2021)

Investors need to know: What third-party grievance mechanism(s) can farmworkers **access** to address human rights violations in Kroger’s supply chain, and **how have they been implemented**?

Kroger’s Opposition says Kroger will publish an “HRDD framework in 2022,” but it will include “a three-year implementation roadmap”

Kroger’s Opposition says Kroger will publish an “updated Code of Conduct in 2022,” but does not state when or whether it will address social compliance audits or third-party grievance mechanisms

Kroger’s Opposition says Kroger will begin a “human rights impact assessment” in California agriculture “this year”

The current human rights crisis in North American agriculture is well-established, and while a risk-based approach is appropriate, the risks are known, so now is the time to act, not analyze slowly

Kroger’s Opposition does not say whether Kroger will disclose the type of concrete evidence requested by the Resolved Clause, which is needed today for investors to assess the management of forced labor and other human rights risks in Kroger’s supply chain
In 2011, the Coalition of Immokalee Workers created the "gold standard" for protecting farmworkers’ human rights in food retailer supply chains: the Presidential Medal-winning Fair Food Program (FFP).

The FFP is recognized by government authorities, ranging from the White House to the United Nations to US federal law enforcement agencies, as unmatched in its ability to address and prevent risks of modern slavery, sexual assault, and other serious human rights abuses.

The FFP requires—and enforces—mandatory COVID-19 safety protocols on all FFP participating farms, as well as protections against heat stress and other health and safety risks.

Investors have signaled strong support for the program, most recently with 95% support of a proposal at Wendy’s, similar to this proposal, filed at Kroger by Domini.

The FFP’s multiple avenues for worker voice—including an unparalleled complaint investigation and resolution process guarded against retaliation and mandatory market consequences for zero tolerance violations—have achieved unprecedented advances for farmworkers under the Program’s protections, as verified by the Program’s transparent, data-intensive annual reports.


Information on the Fair Food Program is available at https://fairfoodprogram.org/
Kroger’s Opposition Says Kroger will “align with the United Nations Guiding Principles (UNGPs) on Business and Human Rights.” UN Experts have recognized the Fair Food Program as an effective implementation practice:

“Worker-driven social responsibility initiatives, such as the Coalition of Immokalee Workers’ Fair Food Program, demonstrate the benefits of developing site-level grievance mechanisms...by giving workers a leading role in shaping and monitoring these mechanisms”

U.N. WORKING GROUP ON BUSINESS AND HUMAN RIGHTS, IN 2011 REPORT CELEBRATING 10-YEAR ANNIVERSARY OF UNGPs

FFP is “a ground-breaking accountability arrangement” that, “through market incentives for growers and retailers, monitoring policies and, crucially, a robust and accessible mechanism to resolve complaints and provide remedy” delivers “respect for human rights”

ALEXANDRA GUQUETA, CHAIR, UN WORKING GROUP ON BUSINESS AND HUMAN RIGHTS

FFP “must be considered as an international benchmark” for addressing forced labor in corporate supply chains

MARIA GRAZIA GIAMMARINARO, UN SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR IN TRAFFICKING IN PERSONS
Contrary to Kroger’s Opposition:

(1) The Fair Food Program is **not** limited to the “Immokalee region of Florida”

(2) The Fair Food Program does **not** negotiate produce prices
Kroger’s Opposition acknowledges that the FFP represents “best practices for respecting human rights among vulnerable workers in our agricultural supply chains,” but says joining the Program is not necessary because the amount of produce sourced by Kroger from the “Immokalee region of Florida” is “small.”

**FACT**

*The FFP is present on farms in 8 states,* not just in the “Immokalee region of Florida,” and constitutes a high density of tomato production in the Southeast region where *forced labor has been repeatedly uncovered over the past year on non-FFP farms.*

Fair Food Program Partners, https://fairfoodprogram.org/partners/
Kroger’s Opposition: “Kroger’s policies reflect our belief that our responsibility to our customers and shareholders is to negotiate pricing directly with our suppliers, and not with third-party organizations like the Fair Food Program.”

The FFP does not negotiate over produce pricing; Kroger would still negotiate its pricing directly with its suppliers if Kroger joined the FFP. FFP participation requires a small premium be passed on to farmworkers when purchasing from FFP farms, combatting generations of extreme farmworker poverty.

“Facts:

FACT

The FFP does not negotiate over produce pricing; Kroger would still negotiate its pricing directly with its suppliers if Kroger joined the FFP. FFP participation requires a small premium be passed on to farmworkers when purchasing from FFP farms, combatting generations of extreme farmworker poverty.

Whole Foods Market
Senior Global Produce Manager

Coalition of Immokalee Workers, “CNN on FFP, Part II: “Have you ever wondered how your tomatoes get to the grocery store?,”” https://ciw-online.org/blog/2017/06/cnn-ffp-part-ii
CONCLUSION

• Kroger’s recent statements on human rights represent a welcome recognition of the importance to Kroger of respecting the marginalized workers in its supply chain.
• However, these statements have not provided shareholders the concrete evidence shareholders need to evaluate the extent to which Kroger’s actions are effectively mitigating major human rights risks that exist in North American agriculture today.
• Kroger’s continued absence from the recognized “gold standard” program for preventing human rights abuses in agriculture, the Fair Food Program, rests on inaccuracies and appears inconsistent with the company’s own recent statements regarding human rights—so investors also need the additional disclosures sought by the Resolved Clause to properly evaluate Kroger’s failure to join.

Shareholders are urged to vote FOR a report on the protection of farmworkers in Kroger’s North American supply chain; Item 6 on June 23rd. For questions regarding this proposal, please contact Mary Beth Gallagher, Director of Engagement, Domini Impact Investments LLC, mgallagher@domini.com.

Please DO NOT send us your proxy card; Domini Impact Investments LLC is not able to vote your proxies, nor does this communication contemplate such an event. Domini Impact Investments urges shareholders to vote for Item 6 following the instruction provided on the management’s proxy mailing.